





Not satisfactory\* – Give remarks in the box below or on a separate page

Chairman, SRC      Member 1      Member 2      Member 3      Member 4

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Note (\*) – In case the SRC finds the synopsis not satisfactory, the student can resubmit it to the SRC after incorporating the suggestions of the SRC.

Chairman (DRC) \_\_\_\_\_

Dean (Research) / VC appointed nominee / VC \_\_\_\_\_

**(Confidential)**  
**THE NORTHCAP UNIVERSITY**

**PARTICULARS TO BE FILLED IN BY THE SUPERVISORS**  
(To be submitted after approval of the Synopsis)

1. Name of Ph.D. Student (in block letters) .....  
(Name must correspond with the name on the enrolment form)
  
2. NCU Roll No. of the Student: .....
  
3. I (we) am (are) satisfied that the student has completed his research work towards his Ph.D. degree under my (our) guidance and is ready to write and submit his thesis within nine months of submission of the synopsis.
  
4. Title of the Thesis (in block letters)  
(The title of the thesis should be correctly and clearly recorded as approved by the SRC)  
.....  
.....
  
5. The names of renowned External Experts (5 from reputed institutes in India and 5 from reputed institutes in developed foreign countries) suggested for examination of the thesis for the student are given below.

	INDIA	DEVELOPED FOREIGN COUNTRIES
1	Name:  Designation:  Address:    Email: Phone:	Name:  Designation:  Address:    Email: Phone:
2	Name:  Designation:  Address:    Email: Phone:	Name:  Designation:  Address:    Email: Phone:

3	Name: Designation: Address:  Email: Phone:	Name: Designation: Address:  Email: Phone:
4	Name: Designation: Address:  Email: Phone:	Name: Designation: Address:  Email: Phone:
5	Name: Designation: Address:  Email: Phone:	Name: Designation: Address:  Email: Phone:

6. Certified that the above mentioned suggested External Experts are currently active in research in the field of specialization of the student.
7. Certified that none of the **External Experts is a near relation** (parents, brother/sister or son/daughter) of the supervisor(s) or the student or their spouses.

(Supervisor no.1)  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_

(Supervisor no.2)  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_

(Supervisor no.3)  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman (DRC)\_\_\_\_\_

Dean (Research) / VC appointed nominee / VC \_\_\_\_\_,

**For Office Work**

1. Pre-Ph.D. Seminar was held on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ AM/PM in Room \_\_\_\_\_. The student successfully defended his/her work to his SRC and its recommendations as given in form Synopsis-01 are enclosed.
2. Recommendation of the DRC for this case as given in the Minutes of the DRC Meeting held on \_\_\_\_\_ is enclosed.
3. Two copies of the Synopsis and the soft copy of the synopsis incorporating suggestions of the SRC, if any, are enclosed.
4. Forms Synopsis-01 is enclosed.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Dean (Research) / VC appointed nominee / VC \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman, Board of Doctoral Research \_\_\_\_\_

